Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/773,220	TAKANEZAWA ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Robert Sellers	1712	

All Participants:

(1) *Robert Sellers*.

(2) *Joerg-Uwe Szipl*.

Status of Application: *Amended*

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: *31 May 2005*

Time: _____

Type of Interview:
☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No
If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:
35 U.S.C. 103(a) rejection

Claims discussed:
1, 2 and 6-10

Prior art documents discussed:
Tobisawa et al. Patent No. 6,486,242

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
The modifications to the claims implemented in the attached examiner's amendment places the application in condition for allowance.

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

_____ (Examiner/SPE Signature)

_____ (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)